



GFCC University & Research Leadership Forum

Reimagining Higher Education for University 4.0: A Unified Agenda for Funding, Ranking, and Regional Development



AUTHOR
Professor Aleksandar Subic
Vice Chancellor and Chief Executive
Aston University

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher education has historically been a cornerstone of social and economic progress. Universities have excelled at transmitting knowledge, generating research breakthroughs, and fostering skilled workforces. Yet, in a global environment shaped digital disruption, regional inequalities, and sustainability imperatives, a new model - University 4.0 - has emerged. This framework casts universities as civic seamlessly anchors, integrating teaching and research with placebased innovation, social equity, and long-term, sustainable development.

Realising University 4.0 requires both a restructuring of funding mechanisms - to reward place-based innovation, regional sustainable development and inclusive growth - and a reimagined ranking system that captures how universities uplift local economies, address societal challenges, and prepare a future-ready workforce with requisite skills. Traditional league tables, such as QS, Times Higher Education (THE), and the forthcoming Elsevier multi-dimensional measures, tend to emphasise research metrics and global reputation with relatively

minor attributions to some socioeconomic impact contributions. Yet
new insights - including those from the
Global Federation of Competitiveness
Councils (GFCC) and the Elsevier & TU/e
report (2022) - underscore the
importance of knowledge transfer and
spin-outs, industry and community
collaboration, place-based innovation
and regional development, educational
innovation, digital skills and digital
inclusion in understanding a university's
comprehensive impact.

Bv integrating more impact-driven indicators - such as access participation, employability, social mobility, community partnerships, netzero leadership, digital skills and technical innovation outcomes funders and ranking agencies can incentivise universities to serve as engines regional of renewal. environmental resilience, and social progress.



PROFESSOR ALEKSANDAR SUBICVice-Chancellor and President of
Aston University, United Kingdom

2. THE EMERGENCE OF UNIVERSITY 4.0

Since the beginnings of "classical" teaching institutions, universities have undergone significant transformations, including the rise of "research" and "entrepreneurial" models that commercialise new knowledge. University 4.0 expands these roles to a "quadruple helix" model by adding community through inclusive placebased innovation as a full partner to academia, industry, and government. By doing so, institutions evolve into civic anchors, collaborating with local stakeholders to drive socio-economic transformation by addressing pressing issues such as digital skills gaps, healthcare inequities, and climate change.

Several institutions worldwide demonstrate how University 4.0 principles can be applied effectively:

 Aston University's Birmingham Innovation Precinct (United Kingdom): Collaborates with the city council and regional government, healthcare providers, businesses and start-ups to tackle widening digital skills gap, regional Eindhoven University of
Technology (Netherlands):
Employs city-supported "living labs"
to align corporate R&D with societal
needs, co-developing solutions for
energy efficiency, advanced

manufacturing, and technology

adoption through open innovation

and collaboration.

- Arizona State University (United) States): Embodies social mobility and public-private partnerships. ASU's charter prioritises inclusivity as measure of success by forming with alliances local strong and industries community organisations to address skill shortages and equity gaps, and drive socio-economic value for all.
- Aalto University (Finland): Formed merging institutions by of technology, economics, and art/design, promote to interdisciplinary thinking and practice. By collaborating with businesses and local government, Alto creates user-centric solutions for smart city design, eco-friendly materials, and creative entrepreneurship.
- Vanderbilt University (United States): Plays a pivotal role in fostering an innovation ecosystem

in Tennessee by prioritising "radical collaboration" across academia, industry, government and community. The university's strategic partnerships drive the development of innovation hubs like mobility, energy and Alfocused initiatives, to create economic and social transformation. These efforts emphasise sustainability, workforce development, and cuttingedge technologies, for innovation-driven economic growth.

In each case, teaching, research, and community collaboration converge to tackle regional and national priorities. Universities do not merely impart knowledge or pursue research in isolation; instead, they embed their activities within broader social, economic, and environmental goals - exemplifying the University 4.0 ethos.

3. FINANCIAL PRESSURES AND THE GLOBAL CONTEXT

Despite high-level commitments to "level up" disadvantaged regions, many institutions - particularly those heavily reliant on domestic students - face mounting budgetary constraints. In England, for instance, the **Office for Students (OfS)** observes that a capped nominal tuition fee has led to a real-terms decline in per-student funding, straining resource-intensive fields like engineering, advanced healthcare and medicine, and emerging digital tech areas.

funding reforms can nudge universities toward socio-economic and sustainability objectives. For example:

- Australia's Job-Ready Graduates
 Package (2020) links portions of institutional funding to meeting labor-market needs and improving equity outcomes.
- Finland's Performance-Based
 Formula aligns resources with
 factors such as

graduate employment rates, industry collaboration, and research outputs relevant to national development.

 OECD (2019) "Benchmarking Higher Education System Performance" underscores how outcome-based funding can spur universities to address both regional and national priorities.

Additionally, the World Economic Forum (WEF) Future of Jobs Report **2023** emphasises the rapid emergence of Al, data analytics, and green tech fields - requiring higher education to adapt swiftly. Universities located at the crossroads of skill-building and community engagement can sustain competitiveness while drivina equitable innovation. The Elsevier & TU/e report further shows technical universities, when supported by aligned funding, can enhance collaborations, industry patent outputs, and regional socio-economic revitalisation.

4. TOWARD AN IMPACT-DRIVEN FUNDING MODEL

To fully realise the University 4.0 promise, government bodies funding agencies can adopt multidimensional. outcome-based frameworks that recognise and incentivise positive socio-economic contributions. Such models valorise place-based innovation outcomes, sustainability, employability, social mobility, and future-facing skills development aligned with regional national priorities. Specific measures might include:

- Performance-Linked Teaching
 Grants
 - o **Graduate Outcome Top-Ups**reward institutions that
 significantly improve job
 prospects for disadvantaged or
 first-generation students,
 particularly in AI, green energy,
 or healthcare.
 - Strategic Subject Premiums
 provide added funding for expensive but nationally crucial fields, compensating for the lab costs of engineering or advanced technology degrees.

- Place-Based Innovation Consortia
 - Multi-Year Levelling-Up
 Grants sustain collaborations
 among universities, SMEs, and
 local authorities to address
 challenges like digital
 manufacturing widening skills
 gaps, healthcare disparities or
 smart city infrastructure.
 - Proof-of-Concept Incentives
 support prototypes and pilotplants bridging the gap
 between academic research
 and industry application,
 thereby nurturing local start ups.

• Socioeconomic Mobility Rewards

- Traveled Distance **Metrics** how effectively track institutions elevate students from underrepresented backgrounds, using participation and progression earnings growth data, professional placement data.
 - Student Support Subsidies
 help universities invest in
 mentorship, mental health
 services, and career coaching
 that drive retention and long term success for at-risk
 learners.

• Technical Collaboration and Knowledge Transfer Metrics

 Emphasise co-publication rates with industry, spin-off formation, patent registrations, and technology transfer agreements. Rewarding universities that actively translate research into commercial or public-sector applications would align public investment with long-term socio-economic gains.

Such a funding design ensures public investments prioritise universities that align education, research, and innovation with holistic socioeconomic outcomes, from healthcare to green energy to technological advancement.

5. REIMAGINING GLOBAL RANKINGS FOR BROADER IMPACT

Global ranking systems - QS, Times Higher Education (THE), and multidimensional models like Elsevier's upcoming framework-strongly shape institutional priorities, student decision-making, and policy contexts. While these rankings capture valuable academic metrics (e.g., publications, citations, faculty-student ratios. qualitative surveys), they often fail to the broader social. fully reflect economic. environmental and contributions central to University 4.0. Recognising these gaps, GFCC and Flsevier/TU advocate for more nuanced indicators, including:

- Co-publication and Co-patenting
 with industry or public-sector via
 influential evidence-based
 reputable channels beyond
 academic scholarly journals.
- Research Impact (including and beyond Citations Impact) in fields aligned with sustainable technologies, health innovations, digital technologies or local economic development.

- Knowledge Transfer (including and beyond Spin-Out Activity) reflecting how universities facilitate real-world transformation in critical areas, from Al-based ventures to net-zero solutions supported by commercial evidence.
- Skills Development addressing regional and national priorities and widening skills gap in key industrial and business domains, critical for regional and national development.

these incorporating By impactmetrics-together with measures of access and participation, employability, social mobility, local engagement, technology innovation and sustainability - ranking agencies broader adoption of can spur University 4.0 practices.

6. ALIGNING FUNDING MODELS, RANKING SYSTEMS, AND UNIVERSITY 4.0

When **funding** mechanisms and **ranking** frameworks both value place-based innovation, socio-economic inclusion, and sustainability, universities stand to gain in multiple ways:

- Policy and Funding Alignment:
 Institutions that excel at bridging skills gaps, boosting upward mobility, and collaborating on netzero or digital expansion goals become prime candidates for additional grants or policy support.
- Strategic Partnerships: Deeper ties to regional governments and local industries can yield more substantial R&D opportunities, broader student experiences, and a stronger social mandate.
- Reputational Shift: By highlighting social and environmental dimensions - along with specific technical indicators such as patent registrations and research commercialisation outcomes

global rankings can enhance the international standing of universities that meaningfully benefit their regions, rather than solely those with high research prestige.

In practice, this alignment requires robust data on outcomes (e.g., graduate trajectories, patents and SMF commercial outputs, partnerships) and multi-stakeholder collaboration among higher education ministries, funding bodies, ranking agencies, and local economic development actors. If executed effectively, these measures transform universities into true civic anchors that invest in equitable growth, embrace cutting-edge research with practical applications, reinforce socio-environmental objectives.

7. BENEFITS AND IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

Refashioning **funding** and **ranking** systems around University 4.0 generates tangible benefits:

- Regional Development: Improved R&D capacity, higher employment rates, and strategic start-up incubation can reinvigorate local economies.
- Equitable Access: Targeting "distance traveled" and wraparound support fosters social mobility, giving non-traditional learners better opportunities to succeed.
- Sustainability Action:

 Encouraging net-zero and climate resilience projects on campus can produce environmental innovations applicable at city or national scales.
- Future-Ready Workforce: Emphasis on AI, data analytics, and green technologies aligns higher education with the labor market shifts outlined in the WEF's Future of Jobs Report.
- Robust Knowledge Transfer: Highlighting industry-focused

metrics pushes universities to cocreate with external partners, leveraging intellectual property for broader socio-economic impact.

Nonetheless, implementation challenges persist. Ranking bodies may find it difficult to measure community engagement or social mobility without reliable, standardised data. Overemphasis on a single indicator graduate (e.g., salaries) risks discouraging essential but lowerpaying disciplines. Additionally, policy fragmentation across multiple agencies and ministries can hamper comprehensive adoption of outcomebased metrics. Addressing these consistent hurdles demands leadership, collaborative frameworks, and iterative refinement of performance-based models.

8. CONCLUSION

University 4.0 reframes higher education as a strategic driver of regional development, social mobility, and technological innovation in an increasingly complex world. Recent data from the Office for Students confirms the vulnerable financial status of many regional universities in the UK, while global cases highlight the invaluable role new generation regional universities can play in driving placemaking and greater socio-economic Outcomes **Aston** value. from University and Eindhoven University of Technology to Arizona State, Aalto University and Vanderbilt University demonstrate how institutions can teaching, integrate research, entrepreneurship, civic and **collaboration** to create inclusive innovation ecosystems in their regions and deliver far-reaching societal benefits.

For this vision to flourish, **funding** models must extend beyond enrolment counts and research grants to incorporate metrics on socioeconomic impact, collaboration with local stakeholders, and technology transfer in emerging industrial

domains aligned with regional and national priorities. Concurrently, ranking agencies such as QS, THE, and Flsevier revise can their methodologies hiahliaht to an institution's achievements in inclusive carbon innovation. patenting and spin-outs, future skills employability, and "distance travelled" for disadvantaged students. By harmonising reformed funding structures with these new ranking indicators, higher education worldwide can pivot toward a more holistic mission - one that transforms universities into genuine civic anchors bridging social divides, fuelling sustainable industry practices, and equipping the next generation of leaders for a rapidly evolving future.

REFERENCES AND DATA SOURCES

- 1. Subic, A. (2025). "Universities 4.0: a vision for the future of higher education". Higher Education Policy Institute Blog, 13 January 2025.
- 2. Subic, A. (2023). "Higher education funding fallacy: the folly of rewarding A while hoping for B". Higher Education Policy Institute Blog, 19 December 2023.
- 3. Aston University (2024). Aston 2030 Strategy: Towards University 4.0.
- 4. Australian Government (2020). Job-Ready Graduates Package.
- 5. Aalto University (2023). University Profile and Mission.
- 6. Arizona State University (2023). ASU Charter and Engagement Initiatives.
- 7. Eindhoven University of Technology (2021). Quadruple Helix Partnerships for Regional Development.
- 8. Elsevier & TU/e (2022). Performance and Impact of Technical Universities [Report].
- 9. Finland Ministry of Education and Culture (2020). Performance-Based Funding for Higher Education.
- 10. Kerr, S. (1975). "On the Folly of Rewarding A, While Hoping for B." Academy of Management Journal, 18(4).
- 11. OECD (2019). Benchmarking Higher Education System Performance.
- 12. Office for Students (2023). Financial Sustainability of Higher Education Providers in England.
- 13. QS Quacquarelli Symonds (2023). QS World University Rankings Methodology.
- 14. Times Higher Education (2023). Impact Rankings and SDG-driven methodologies.
- 15. U-Multirank (European Commission) (2023). Beyond Academic Excellence: Multi-dimensional University Rankings.
- 16. UK Department for Education (2022). Levelling Up White Paper.
- 17. UK Research and Innovation (2023). Regional distribution of research grants.
- 18. UNESCO (2021). Reimagining our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education.
- 19. World Economic Forum and GFCC (2023). The Future of Jobs Report 2023.

Vanderbilt University (2024). Tennessee – redefining place and building innovation ecosystem for mobility, energy and manufacturing. *Competitiveness Conversations Across America* 2024.



© 2025 Global Federation of Competitiveness Councils

900 17th Street, NW, Suite 700, Washington, D.C. 20006

The Global Federation of Competitiveness Councils (GFCC) is a network of leaders and organizations from around the world committed to the implementation of competitiveness strategies to drive innovation, productivity and prosperity for nations, regions and cities. The GFCC develops and implements ideas, concepts, initiatives and tools to understand and navigate the complex competitiveness landscape.

The Global Federation of Competitiveness Councils (GFCC) is a nonprofit, 501(c)(3) organization as recognized by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service. The GFCC's activities are funded by contributions from its member organizations.

For more information, please visit www.thegfcc.org.

f /thegfcc

X @thegfcc

in /the-global-federation-of-competitiveness-councils/